INTRODUCTION

Painful joint inflammation affects millions of people with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis, whereas bone pain occurs in hundreds of thousands of patients with metastasized cancer.[1‑3] Arthritic and bone cancer pain are worsened by movement and thus reduce autonomy,[2,4‑6] for instance by interfering with the capacity to prepare daily meals.

Local cytokine production is important in arthritis and bone cancer, but increased spinal cytokine and glial filament expression, coined neuroinflammation, may also contribute to pain.[7] Indeed, both peripheral or intrathecal administration of the pro-inflammatory cytokines interleukin-1beta (IL-1β) and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) or of the chemotactic cytokine monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1/CCL2) increase experimental pain sensitivity.[8‑14] Moreover, peripheral and intrathecal cytokine antagonists attenuate hyperalgesia in inflammatory and bone cancer pain models.[8,15‑21] However, intrathecally-administered molecules readily spread to dorsal root ganglia (DRG),[22] where receptor proteins for some cytokines are expressed,[23,24] indicating that intrathecal cytokines or their antagonists may act centrally or peripherally.

Although some studies have reported increased spinal cytokine expression in experimental inflammatory and bone cancer pain,[10,15‑26] most studies have addressed spinal glial responses and found these to be variable.[27‑32]
Interestingly, paw palpation similar to pain sensitivity testing, and direct sensory nerve stimulation induce spinal transcription factor and IL-1β expression, respectively.\cite{32-34} In the present work, we therefore studied spinal and DRG expression as well as plasma concentrations of cytokines in murine models of arthritis and bone cancer in relationship to signs of spontaneous pain and paw palpation, rather than to pain sensitivity.

**METHODS**

**Animals**

One hundred male C57/B16 mice (Charles River, France) weighing 25-30 g and 46 male C3H/HeN mice (Janvier Labs, France) weighing 20-26 g were used. Four days before surgery, animals were housed individually in plastic transparent cages with unrestricted access to food and water in a room maintained at 21.5-22.5 °C. Lights were on from 3:00 a.m. to 15:00 p.m. All experimental procedures were approved by the local ethical committee (No. AP/2/11/2006).

**Arthritis and bone cancer induction**

C57/B16 mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and placed in a supine position to insert a 26-gauge needle into the knee joint as described by Gauldie et al.\cite{32} Fifty µL of complete Freund adjuvant (CFA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) or mineral oil vehicle were injected on days 0 and 6 into the same joint [Figure 1]. C3H/HeN mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and injected with 10 µL of phosphate buffer saline (PBS) containing 10⁵ L3‑L5 spinal cords and DRGs of these animals were placed in a dimly-lit (10 Lux) open field device (40 cm × 40 cm) divided into 16 equal zones. Number of entries of the animal into a different zone and rearing with or without leaning against the wall were scored during 10 min.\cite{37} To study hind paw guarding during rearing, animals were introduced into an inverted glass beaker of 20 cm diameter for 4 min during the light phase.\cite{38}

As hallmarks of spinal neuroinflammation are variable between studies, in particular among those using CFA, we tested if mechanical non-noxious stimulation is one of the underlying factors. Therefore, half of the animals underwent hind paw palpation every second for 2 min and were sacrificed 90 min later [Figure 1]. To avoid any effect of mechanical allodynia testing on spinal gene expression, hind paw responses to von Frey filaments (0.16-2.4 g) applied to the plantar surface were studied a few minutes before sacrifice.

**Articular inflammation and bone destruction**

To assess inflammation, extracellular fluid was detected in vivo using T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) on a 4.7 T horizontal magnet (Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany). To determine bone destruction, 3D FLASH-based magnetic resonance microscopy of femurs was carried out ex vivo on a 9.4 T vertical magnet (Bruker Biospec 47/50, Ettlingen, Germany).

**Behavioral testing**

Reduced food intake and exploration are signs of pain in rodents.\cite{34} After surgery, food pellets and body weight were measured every day. To assess exploratory activity during the dark phase, animals were placed in a dimly-lit (10 Lux) open field device (40 cm × 40 cm) divided into 16 equal zones. Number of entries of the animal into a different zone and rearing with or without leaning against the wall were scored during 10 min.\cite{37} To study hind paw guarding during rearing, animals were introduced into an inverted glass beaker of 20 cm diameter for 4 min during the light phase.\cite{38}

**Spinal Fos expression**

Immunohistochemical detection of c-Fos and FosB transcription factors in the spinal cord was performed using rabbit antisera (diluted 1:2000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) as previously described.\cite{39}

**Circulating cytokines**

Blood samples were collected in EDTA-coated vials, centrifuged for 15 min at 3000 g at 4 °C and the plasmas...
were frozen at -80 °C. IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, MCP-1/CCL2, TNF-α and interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) were measured using a 6-plex kit (BIORAD, Hercules, CA, USA). When estimated values were below the detection limit, animals were excluded from the analysis.

Cytokine and cytokine receptor expression in the spinal cord and dorsal root ganglia expression

RNA was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and concentrations were measured using a Nanodrop (Thermo scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Quality check was performed with a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) before reverse transcription to cDNA. Primers were designed [Table 1] and the resulting amplicon was validated using melting curve analysis. Real-time SYBR green-based comparative PCR was performed (DyNamo™ SYBER Green qPCR Kit, Finnzymes Oy, Espoo, Finland). Animals were excluded from the analysis if melting curves did not show a single peak. Relative expression of mRNA expression of IL-1β, IL-1 receptor type 1 (IL-1R1), TNF-α, TNF receptor 1 and 2 (TNFR1 and 2), MCP-1/CCL2, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), prostaglandin E synthase and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase expression was calculated as described by Pfaff et al.[40]

Although the constitutive expression of IL-6 receptor protein has convincingly been shown in DRG,[23,24] this is not necessarily the case for other cytokine receptors. Tyramide-amplified (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) immunohistochemical detection of mouse CCR2 (rabbit antiserum diluted 1:25000, Avia Systems Biology, San Diego, CA, USA) was used on free-floating 20 µm DRG cryostat sections to study constitutive protein expression of the MCP-1/CCL2 receptor. Specificity of immunoreactivity was assessed in CCR2-C57/Bl6 knockout mice (Jackson Laboratory-JAX® Mice, Bar Harbor, USA). Double-labelling for transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1; guinea pig antiserum diluted 1:500; Neuromics, Edina, MN, USA) was performed to determine if CCR2 was present in nociceptors.

**Data representation and statistical analysis**

Data were expressed as mean ± standard error of mean or in case of PCR experiments as mean ± standard error. Weekly food intake, body weight changes and exploratory behaviors were analyzed with two-way repeated measures analysis of variances (ANOVA). Mechanical allodynia and spinal Fos expression were analyzed with two-way ANOVAs. Plasma cytokine concentrations were analyzed using t-test. Nonparametric Mann-Whitney tests were performed when normality or equal variance test failed. Differences in spinal mRNA expression were analyzed with Pair-Wise fixed reallocation randomization tests.[40] In all cases, P < 0.05 was considered as a statistically significant difference.

**RESULTS**

Two C57/Bl6 mice died during the second anesthesia for intra-articular injection of CFA or mineral oil.

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

T2-weighted MRI indicated some stifle joint edema after mineral oil injection [Figure 2a], but revealed much more intense and widespread inflammatory edema after CFA administration [Figure 2b]. No signs of inflammation were observed in contralateral joints. FLASH-based MRI revealed intact bone and marrow after PBS injection into the femur intramedullary canal [Figure 2c], whereas NCTC tumor cell injection resulted in trabecular bone destruction and irregular bone surfaces [Figure 2d].

**Food intake and body weight**

Food intake [Z = -2.520; P < 0.012; Figure 3a] and body weight gain (Z = -2.588; P < 0.010) were significantly reduced during the week after CFA injection as compared to mineral oil. Weekly food intake [Z = -2.588; P < 0.010; Figure 3a] and body weight changes (Z = -3.076; P < 0.003) were significantly reduced during the 3rd week after tumor cell injection into the femur in comparison to PBS administration.

**Table 1: List of forward and reverse primers used in this study (5′-3′)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>GAAAGAAGGCGCCATCTCTTG</td>
<td>TCATCTCGGAGCCTGTAGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1R1</td>
<td>CCAGAAGTCTGTGGAGAGTA</td>
<td>TACTGTTTGTGGATGACAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>GCCCTTTTCTGCTTCCTGGTT</td>
<td>TGGGAACCTTCTCATCCTTCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFR1</td>
<td>AAGAAATGTCGCCAGTGTAGG</td>
<td>TCTCACCTAAGTAGAGTGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFR2</td>
<td>CCAATCGCTGTAGTTTGGG</td>
<td>AGGAGGCTTCTTCTTCTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>AGCTGTCGAAAGGAGCTTG</td>
<td>ATGTGCTGACCTTCTTCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX2</td>
<td>AAATGTCGCCAGTGTAGG</td>
<td>AAGAATGTCGCCAGTGTAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPGES</td>
<td>TAGAATAGGGACAGGGTCTCG</td>
<td>AGACCTCAGAGAAGTCTAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP</td>
<td>TTTTGCTCACCTCCAGATCC</td>
<td>CCCAGTCCCAAGCTTCTATTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPGH</td>
<td>TCAGAGTGCAGGCTGTGGA</td>
<td>TGGGAACCTTCTTCTCTCTC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IL-1β: interleukin-1 beta; IL-1R1: interleukin-1 receptor type 1; TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor-alpha; TNFR1 and 2: tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 and 2; MCP-1: monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; COX2: cyclooxygenase-2; mPGES: microsomal prostaglandin E synthase; GFAP: glial fibrillary acidic protein; GAPGH: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
Exploratory behavior
Dark phase exploratory activity in a dimly-lit open field device was significantly decreased on the 1st day after intra-articular CFA injection as compared to that of mineral oil \(Z = -4.059; P < 0.001\) and \(Z = -3.553; P < 0.004\); Figure 3b]. No differences in activity were observed 14, 17 or 20 days after tumor cell or PBS injection into the femur intramedullary [Figure 3b].

During the light phase, animals injected with CFA into their stifle joint reared less under the inverted beaker glass on days 2 and 5 compared to animals that received mineral oil \(Z = -4.860; P < 0.001\) and \(Z = -2.198; P < 0.0280\), respectively). They also reared less against the wall compared with control animals on day 2 \(Z = -2.857; P < 0.0043\). While rearing against the wall, animals injected with CFA displayed significantly more hind paw guarding than those administered mineral oil on days 2 \(Z = 5.411; P < 0.001\) and 5 \(Z = -5.650; P < 0.001\); Figure 3c] after injection. No differences in rearing were observed after NCTC tumor cell or PBS injection into the femur intramedullary canal, but while rearing the former showed significantly more hind paw guarding than the latter on days 18 \(Z = 2.457; P < 0.015\) and 21 \(Z = 3.554; P < 0.004\); Figure 3c).

Mechanical allodynia
Mice injected intra-articularly with CFA required significant lower forces to elicit paw withdrawal compared with those administered mineral oil \(Z = -3.644; P < 0.003\); Figure 3d]. Palpation of the hind paw had no effect on mechanical allodynia. Although bone tumor-bearing mice did not display active paw withdrawal, they allowed their paws to be lifted with the filament. This pressure-reducing behavior was significantly more frequent after femur NCTC tumor cell injection than after PBS administration \(Z = -2.124; P < 0.034\); Figure 3d].

Spinal Fos expression
The number of c-Fos immunoreactive cells in L3-L5 spinal cord increased significantly after intra-articular CFA injection \(F[1,27] = 10.24; P < 0.004\) and after non-noxious hind paw palpation \(F[1,27] = 17.85; P < 0.001\), whereas the number of FosB-immunoreactive cells did not differ. No differences in the numbers of c-Fos-and FosB-immunoreactive cells were found between NCTC-bone tumor-bearing and PBS-injected control animals.

Plasma cytokine concentrations
Significantly higher IL-6 concentrations in plasma were found in mice that received intra-articular CFA as compared to mineral oil \(Z = -2.237; P < 0.019\); Figure 4] while significantly increased circulating MCP-1/CCL2 levels were observed in animals injected with NCTC tumor cells rather than with PBS into their femur \(Z = 3.269; P < 0.002\); Figure 4]. Circulating MCP-1/CCL2 was probably tumor-derived as NCTC bone tumors were highly MCP-1/CCL2-immunoreactive.

Spinal and dorsal root ganglia mRNA expression
L3-L5 spinal expression of COX-2 mRNA was significantly increased \(P < 0.004\) in animals that received intra-articular CFA compared with those receiving vehicle in the absence of paw palpation [Table 2]. Among animals that underwent paw palpation, CFA-injected mice showed significantly
increased IL-1β ($P < 0.009$), IL-1R1 ($P < 0.002$) and COX-2 ($P < 0.003$) mRNA expression compared with vehicle-treated animals [Table 2]. Spinal gene expression was not found to be different between femur injections, except for a decrease in TNFR1 expression in bone cancer-bearing mice compared to control animals ($P < 0.011$).

No changes in DRG mRNA expression were observed, except for a significant increase in COX-2 mRNA ($P < 0.027$) in animals that received intra-articular CFA compared to those administered vehicle in the absence of paw palpation [Table 2]. In animals that underwent paw palpation, this effect was absent.

**Dorsal root ganglia CCR2 protein expression**

Numerous CCR2-immunoreactive cells were observed in C3H/HeN DRGs, but their numbers were not statistically different between femur injections. Similar findings were obtained in C57/Bl6 wild-type mice [Figure 5a]. The signal was specific since no labeling was observed in CCR2-C57/Bl6 knockout mice, except for some interstitial staining [Figure 5b].

DRG CCR2-immunoreactivity occurred in nociceptors as it was found to be present in TRPV1-positive cells both by epifluorescence [Figure 5c-h] and confocal microscopy [Figure 5i-k].

![Figure 4](image_url): Plasma cytokines in joint inflammation and bone cancer ($n = 9-11$). Statistical differences: *$P < 0.05$ and **$P < 0.01$. CFA: complete freund adjuvant; PBS: phosphate buffer saline; IL: interleukin; IFN: interferon; TNF: tumor necrosis factor.

**Table 2: Relative spinal cord and DRG expression of genes indicated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CFA→oil</th>
<th>CFA→oil</th>
<th>CFA→CFA</th>
<th>Oil→oil</th>
<th>NCTC→PBS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spinal cord</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.35</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.43**</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1R1</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.44</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.55***</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.36*</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.92</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.33</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.41</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFR1</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.45</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.14**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFR2</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.88</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.44</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX2</td>
<td>3.43 ± 1.19*</td>
<td>4.38 ± 1.83**</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.58</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPGES</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.30</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DRG</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.79</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1R1</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.55</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.44</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.76</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>2.00 ± 1.47</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.67</td>
<td>2.17 ± 1.09</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFR1</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.62</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.54</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX2</td>
<td>6.69 ± 6.08*</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.92</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.40</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFAP</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.97</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.56</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.79</td>
<td>1.57 ± 1.05</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates paw palpation. Significantly altered expression ratios between groups are represented by *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$. DRG: dorsal root ganglia; CFA: complete freund adjuvant; CF: complete freund; PBS: phosphate buffer saline; ND: not determined; IL-1β: interleukin-1beta; IL-1R1: interleukin-1 receptor type 1; TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor-alpha; TNFR1 and 2: tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 and 2; MCP-1: monocyte chemotactic protein-1; COX2: cyclooxygenase-2; mPGES: microsomal prostaglandin E synthase; GFAP: glial fibrillary acidic protein; GAPGH: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; NCTC: National Collection of Type Cultures 2472 sarcoma.
DISCUSSION

The present study shows that chronic pain behaviors during deep tissue damage occur in the absence of spinal neuroinflammation but in the presence of circulating cytokines. In addition, evidence is provided to indicate that the latter may act on DRG cytokine receptors and that mechanical stimulation increases spinal cytokine expression.

Our work extends a number of previous studies showing variable spinal GFAP responses across models of inflammatory and cancer pain. [27-33] It reports the absence of increased spinal cytokine expression in addition to the lack of GFAP up-regulation in models of moderate deep tissue pain. Indeed, the fact that we injected less biologically-active agents and induced less severe pain behavior and tissue damage, compared to previous reports, [27,28,35] may partly explain discrepancies concerning spinal neuroinflammation between studies.

However, studies employing concentrations and routes of administration of biologically-active agents comparable to those used currently have shown increased spinal GFAP and cytokine expression. [16,30,31] Interestingly, these studies, like those using higher concentrations of disease biologically-active agents, imposed mechanical stimulation or movement on animals. Non-noxious palpation of bone tumor-containing paws increases transcription factor expression in the spinal cord. [32,33]

We show here that the palpation induced spinal c-Fos expression, although not to the same extent as CFA-provoked articular inflammation. Since sensory nerve stimulation can induce CNS IL-1β expression, [29,42] we tested the effect of paw palpation on spinal cytokine expression. Our observation that palpation increased spinal IL-1β and IL-1R1 expression in mice with joint inflammation indicates that afferent nerve stimulation during deep tissue injury can indeed induce hallmarks of neuroinflammation. Results obtained in models employing imposed mechanical stimulation to assess pain sensitivity should, therefore, be interpreted with caution.

As we hypothesized that imposed paw stimulation during deep tissue injury influences spinal gene expression, we assessed spontaneous behaviors indicating pain, such as decreased food intake and exploration as well as paw guarding, [36,42] and we studied allodynia only minutes before sacrifice. Although joint inflammation affected exploration more than bone cancer, both conditions reduced food intake and provoked hind paw guarding. The latter behavior is in accordance with earlier studies and has been shown to be reversed by morphine, [38,42] thus suggesting that paw guarding reflects spontaneous pain.

Although experimental joint inflammation and bone cancer gave rise to similar pain behaviors in the absence of spinal neuroinflammation, the underlying mechanisms differ. Subcutaneous CFA injections may result in blood-brain barrier breakdown and increase spinal COX-2 expression, [29,43] that mediates mechanical pain hypersensitivity. [44] Our work confirmed increased spinal COX-2 expression after less severe intra-articular CFA injections suggesting that it also mediates mechanical allodynia during local inflammation. However, in contrast to what has been reported after subcutaneous CFA injection, [27] we did not observe increased spinal cytokine expression in the absence of mechanical stimulation. Interestingly, in addition to IL-1β and TNF-α, peripheral IL-6 also increases central COX-2 expression and pain sensitivity during inflammation. [45] Since we observed increased circulating IL-6 concentrations, we propose that IL-6-induced spinal COX-2 upregulation underlies mechanical allodynia after intra-articular CFA injection. Alternatively, circulating IL-6 may have acted on IL-6 receptors in DRG, [23,24] accessible to circulating molecules, [22] to induce COX-2.

In the absence of increased spinal cytokine and COX-2 expression during bone cancer pain behavior, we considered nervous system actions of peripheral cytokines. We confirmed tumor MCP-1/CCL2 production and showed for the first time increased circulating MCP-1/CCL2 and constitutive CCR2 protein expression in murine DRG nociceptors. These findings are important given that circulating molecules can access DRGs and that MCP-1/CCL2 increases nociceptor excitability, [22,46] and suggest that circulating MCP-1/CCL2 action on DRGs contributes to bone cancer pain behavior.

In conclusion, our present work shows that in two different types of deep tissue lesions, inflammatory and neoplastic, signs of spontaneous, chronic pain are not correlated to spinal neuroinflammation, but rather to peripheral cytokines. In addition, we present evidence indicating that mechanical stimulation of the body segment containing the lesion, similar to what may occur during pain sensitivity testing, can induce spinal cytokine expression as a hallmark of neuroinflammation. As such, our work provides important new insights into the occurrence and role of spinal neuroinflammation in chronic pain. Finally, our findings suggest that circulating cytokine action in dorsal root ganglia may contribute to experimental joint inflammation and bone cancer pain.
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